

**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO**

MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK, LLC, et al.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2016-09-3928

Judge: James Brogan

**DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF SERVICE  
OF SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM ON  
GARY PETTI**

Defendant, Kisling, Nestico & Redick, LLC., hereby gives notice that Defendant perfected personal service, under Civ.R. 45, of the attached subpoena duces tecum on Gary Petti. The Process Server delivered the Subpoena Duces Tecum on Mr. Petti personally on January 11, 2019. A copy of the executed Return of Service is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". The statutory witness fee was tendered upon service.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Thomas P. Mannion

Thomas P. Mannion (0062551)  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
1375 E. 9<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 2250  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114  
Phone: 216.344.9422; Fax: 216.344.9421  
[Tom.Mannion@lewisbrisbois.com](mailto:Tom.Mannion@lewisbrisbois.com)

/s/ James M. Popson

James M. Popson (0072773)  
Brian E. Roof (0071451)  
Sutter O'Connell  
1301 East 9th Street  
3600 Erieview Tower  
Cleveland, OH 44114  
Phone: (216) 928-2200; Fax: (216) 928-4400  
[jpopson@sutter-law.com](mailto:jpopson@sutter-law.com)  
[broof@sutter-law.com](mailto:broof@sutter-law.com)

*Counsel for Defendants Kisling Nestico & Redick,  
LLC, Alberto R. Nestico, and Robert Redick*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to Civ.R. 5(B)(2)(f), the undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing Notice of Service of Subpoena on Gary Petti was electronically filed on January 15, 2019, using the Court's electronic filing system. Notice of this filing will be sent to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system.

/s/ Thomas P. Mannion

Thomas P. Mannion (0062551)

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

*Counsel for Defendants Kisling Nestico &  
Redick, LLC, Alberto R. Nestico, and Robert  
Redick*

**SUBPOENA  
SUMMIT COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT (CIV. RULE 45)**

MEMBER WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff

vs.

KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK, LLC, et al.,

Defendants

CASE NO: 2016-CV-09-3928

SUBPOENA IN CIVIL CASE

ATTORNEY: Thomas P. Mannion

ADDRESS: Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith  
1375 East Ninth Street, Suite 2250  
Cleveland, OH 44114  
[Tom.Mannion@lewisbrisbois.com](mailto:Tom.Mannion@lewisbrisbois.com)

SUPREME COURT NO. 0062551

TO: Gary Petti  
7760 Katie Drive  
Wadsworth, OH 44281

**YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO:**

X. ATTEND AND GIVE TESTIMONY AT DEPOSITION DUCES TECUM ON February 1, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. at the offices of Kisling, Nestico & Redick, LLC, 3412 W. Market Street, Fairlawn, OH 44333. You are required to produce at deposition documents and other tangible items listed in Exhibit A.

---

You may contact Defendant's counsel, Thomas Mannion, regarding this Subpoena at the above address or by email at [tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com](mailto:tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com). Witness fees will be tendered with this subpoena as required by Ohio law.

HEREOF FAIL NOT UNDER PENALTY OF LAW.

WITNESS MY SIGNATURE AND SEAL OF SAID COURT, this 10<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2019.

/s/ Thomas P. Mannion  
Attorney Thomas P. Mannion

4843-1388-7365.1

## RETURN OF SERVICE

Received this Subpoena on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ M. and on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ M., I served the same upon \_\_\_\_\_ by delivering to \_\_\_\_\_

Personally or Residential a true copy of this subpoena. \_\_\_\_\_ Sheriff-Attorney-Process  
Server-Notary

Mileage: \_\_\_\_\_ miles@ \_\_\_\_\_: TOTAL \$ \_\_\_\_\_

## PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS:

1. A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena.

2. (a) A person commanded to produce under divisions (A)(1)(b)(ii), (iii), (iv) or (v) of this rule need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to attend and give testimony at a deposition, hearing or trial.

(b) Subject to division (D)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce under divisions (A)(1)(b)(ii), (iii), (iv), or (v) of this rule may, within fourteen days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than fourteen days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objections to production. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to production except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena, upon notice to the person commanded to produce may move at any time for an order to compel the production. An order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the production commanded.

3. On timely motion, the court from which the subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena, or order appearance or production only under specified conditions, if the subpoena does any of the following – Fails to allow reasonable time to comply; requires disclosure of privileged or otherwise protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; requires disclosure of a fact known or opinion held by an expert not retained or specially employed by any party in anticipation of litigation or preparation for trial as described by CIV R. 26(B)(4), if the fact or opinion does not describe specific events or occurrences in dispute and results from study by the expert that was not made at request of any party; subjects a person to undue burden.

4. Before filing a motion pursuant to division (C) (3) (c) of this rule, a person resisting discovery under this rule shall attempt to resolve any claim of undue burden through discussions with the issuing attorney. A motion filed pursuant to division (C) (3)(d) of this rule shall be supported by an affidavit of the subpoenaed person or a certificate of that person's attorney of the efforts made to resolve any claim of undue burden.

5. If a motion is made under division (C)(3)(c) or (C)(3)(d) of this rule, the court shall quash or modify the subpoena unless the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated.

## DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENAS:

1. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall, at the person's option, produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or organized and labeled to correspond with the categories in the subpoena. A person producing documents or electronically stored information pursuant to a subpoena for them shall permit their inspection and copying by all parties present at the time and place set in the subpoena for inspection and copying.
2. If a request does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena may produce the information in a form or forms in which the information responding is ordinarily maintained if that form is reasonably useable, or in any form that is reasonably useable. Unless ordered by the court or agreed to by the person subpoenaed, a person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
3. A person need not provide discovery of electronically stored information when the production imposes undue burden or expense. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person from whom electronically stored information is sought must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or expense. If a showing of undue burden or expense is made, the court may nonetheless order production of electronically stored information if the requesting party shows good cause. The court shall consider the factors in Civ. R. 26(B)(4) when determining if good cause exists. In ordering production of electronically stored information, the court may specify the format, extent, timing, allocation of expenses and other conditions for discovery of the electronically stored information.
4. When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.
5. If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a receiving party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and

any copies within the party's possession, custody or control. A party may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for determination of the claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

**SANCTIONS:**

1. Failure by any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. A subpoenaed person or that person's attorney who frivolously resists discovery under this rule may be required by the court to pay the reasonable expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees of the party seeking discovery. The court from which a subpoena was issued may impose upon a party or attorney in breach of the duty imposed by division (C)(1) of this rule an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees.

**Exhibit A**

1. Any and all emails, correspondence, notes, documents, electronic or recorded information, or any other items provided by Gary Petti (or anyone on his behalf) to the following:
  - a. Member Williams
  - b. Thera Reid
  - c. Naomi Wright
  - d. Monique Norris
  - e. Matthew Johnson
  - f. Richard Harbour
  - g. Peter Pattakos, Esq.
  - h. Josh Cohen, Esq.
  - i. Subodh Chandra, Esq.
  - j. Any lawyer or other representative of the individuals listed in a through f above.
2. Any documents or other items provided to Gary Petti (or any of his representatives) by any of the individuals listed in 1 a. through 1 j. above.
3. All emails, documents, and/or other materials taken by Gary Petti from KNR and/or still in possession of Gary Petti relating to:
  - a. Any email correspondence to and from KNR clients;
  - b. KNR policies, procedures, practices, suggestions, fliers, protocols, guidelines, or any other similar items;
  - c. Investigator fees for KNR clients;
  - d. Narrative reports for chiropractors or health care providers for KNR clients;
  - e. Trigger point injections for KNR clients;
  - f. Dr. Ghoubrial and/or Clearwater Billing;
  - g. Dr. Floros and/or Akron Square Chiropractic;
  - h. Dr. Plambeck;
  - i. TENS units for KNR clients;
  - j. Representation of KNR clients;

4811-4830-2981.1

- k. Marketing of KNR;
  - l. Alleged quid pro quo arrangements between KNR and any chiropractors or health care providers;
  - m. Alleged quid pro arrangements between KNR and Liberty Capital, Preferred Capital, Oasis or any other loan company or company that provides services similar to Liberty Capital, Preferred Capital, and/or Oasis;
4. Any communications between Gary Petti and any current or former KNR attorneys or employees relating to any of the issues listed in 3 a. through 3 m. above.

---

4811-4830-2981.1

## EXHIBIT A

## RETURN OF SERVICE

Received this Subpoena on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of Jan, 2019 at 10A M. and on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of Jan, 2019 at 9:50 A.M., I served the same upon GAARY PATRICK by delivering to him personally.

Personally or Residential a true copy of this subpoena  
Server-Notary

*[Signature]*

Sheriff-Attorney-Process  
*[Signature]*

Mileage: \_\_\_\_\_ miles @ \_\_\_\_\_ : TOTAL \$ \_\_\_\_\_

## PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS:

1. A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena.

2. (a) A person commanded to produce under divisions (A)(1)(b)(ii), (iii), (iv) or (v) of this rule need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to attend and give testimony at a deposition, hearing or trial.

(b) Subject to division (D)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce under divisions (A)(1)(b)(ii), (iii), (iv), or (v) of this rule may, within fourteen days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than fourteen days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objections to production. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to production except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena, upon notice to the person commanded to produce may move at any time for an order to compel the production. An order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the production commanded.

3. On timely motion, the court from which the subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena, or order appearance or production only under specified conditions, if the subpoena does any of the following – Fails to allow reasonable time to comply; requires disclosure of privileged or otherwise protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; requires disclosure of a fact known or opinion held by an expert not retained or specially employed by any party in anticipation of litigation or preparation for trial as described by Civ. R. 26(B)(4); if the fact or opinion does not describe specific events or occurrences in dispute and results from study by the expert that was not made at request of any party; subjects a person to undue burden.

4. Before filing a motion pursuant to division (C) (3) (d) of this rule, a person resisting discovery under this rule shall attempt to resolve any claim of undue burden through discussions with the issuing attorney. A motion filed pursuant to division (C) (3)(d) of this rule shall be supported by an affidavit of the subpoenaed person or a certificate of that person's attorney of the efforts made to resolve any claim of undue burden.

5. If a motion is made under division (C)(3)(c) or (C)(3)(d) of this rule, the court shall quash or modify the subpoena unless the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated.

## DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENAS:

1. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall, at the person's option, produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or organized and labeled to correspond with the categories in the subpoena. A person producing documents or electronically stored information pursuant to a subpoena for them shall permit their inspection and copying by all parties present at the time and place set in the subpoena for inspection and copying.
2. If a request does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena may produce the information in a form or forms in which the information responding is ordinarily maintained if that form is reasonable useable, or in any form that is reasonably useable. Unless ordered by the court or agreed to by the person subpoenaed, a person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
3. A person need not provide discovery of electronically stored information when the production imposes undue burden or expense. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person from whom electronically stored information is sought must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or expense. If a showing of undue burden or expense is made, the court may nonetheless order production of electronically stored information if the requesting party shows good cause. The court shall consider the factors in Civ. R. 26(B)(4) when determining if good cause exists. In ordering production of electronically stored information, the court may specify the format, extent, timing, allocation of expenses and other conditions for discovery of the electronically stored information.
4. When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.
5. If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a receiving party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and